1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	ATTAC	ONA
8	NABIL FARAG,	
9	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C12-5865 BHS
10	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSDIERATION
11	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	
12	Respondent.	
13		
14	This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner Nabil Farag's ("Farag") motion	
15	for reconsideration (Dkt. 12).	
16	On September 27, 2012, Farag filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus apparently	
17	contesting state court convictions from a case that was filed in 2006. Dkt. 1. On January	
18	24, 2013, the Court dismissed Farag's petition because Farag had failed to show that he	
19	met the jurisdictional requirement that he was in custody of the state when the petition	
20	was filed. Dkt. 10. On February 5, 2013, Farag filed a motion for reconsideration of the	
21	dismissal. Dkt. 12.	
22		

1 Farag cites two cases for the proposition that the collateral consequences of his conviction do not render his petition "moot." Id. at 1 (citing Puchner v. Kruziki, 111 F.3d 541 (7th Cir. 1997) and Wood v. Hall, 130 F.3d 373 (9th Cir. 1977)). Those cases, however, are factually distinguishable from Farag's case because those petitioners were in custody when they filed their petitions and subsequently released from custody. In this case, although collateral consequences may flow from his conviction, he has failed to show that he was "in custody" when he filed his petition. In fact, he asserts that his community custody ended January 5, 2010, which is almost two years before he filed his petition. Therefore, the Court **DENIES** Farag's motion for reconsideration. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 26th day of February, 2013. United States District Judge

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22